# OBSERVATIONS ON THE NESTING OF HOUSE WRENS, TROGLODYTES AEDON, IN JACKSONVILLE, ALABAMA

## Bill Summerour

#### HISTORY

The House Wren, <u>Troglodytes aedon</u>, is a fairly common transient throughout Alabama. In winter it is rare to uncommon above the Fall Line, fairly common on the Coastal Plain, and common the Gulf Coast (Imhof, 1976). In recent years (1970's) the species has been increasing in summer in the northern half of the state and has attempted to breed, but without success (Summerour, 1983). On 29 May 1984, a nest containing 5 eggs was found by the author in Jacksonville and represented the first reported occurrence of House Wrens nesting successfully in Alabama.

The first summer record for the state was reported by Helen Kittinger who recorded a singing male in Birmingham on 7 July 1971. That same summer H. Adams reported an unsuccessful nesting attempt at Auburn. In the summer of 1973, an unmated female was observed by E. L. Grimley at Mountain Brook near Birmingham, and in the summer of 1981, 3 males established territories in Jacksonville but none was successful in securing a mate (Summerour, 1983).

#### METHODS

After the male was discovered on territory on 9 May, surveys were conducted in Jacksonville by surveying each street in town in an effort to locate other singing males. Selected streets were also surveyed in Piedmont, 12 miles north of Jacksonville, and spot checks were made in rural areas on the outskirts of Jacksonville. These surveys or "counts" were made throughout the summer but no other males were found.

Forty-nine hours, including 21 timed, one-hour sample counts, were spent studying the wrens from 27 May until early September.

#### HABITAT

In Alabama, the few summer records available have all been from suburban areas (Summerour, 1983). In winter the House Wren more often occurs near the ground in dense tangles in shrubby grown-over fields, hedgerows, woodland openings, and bottom lands.

Of 3 bachelor males observed on territory in Jacksonville in the summer of 1984, all were located in suburban areas. Two of the 4 were found in a relatively new subdivision having a patchwork of weedy openings, gardens, and unkept edges. The other 2, including the pair in the present study, were located in older sections of town having well-shaded streets, lawns and an abundance of old growth hedges and shrubbery.

All 4 territories had gardens, open, weedy areas, and unkept edges adjacent to woods, ditch banks, and roadways. Three of the 4 territories encompassed at least 2 or more bird houses which were probably a significant influence in the initial selection of the site for establishing a territory (see also Territory).

#### SONG

The male in this study was a frequent singer throughout the 2 breeding cycles from early May through the first week in August, but the frequency and intensity varied with the phase of the nesting cycle and between the first and second broods.

In the first brood, as well as the second, singing dropped off abruptly at hatching when the male turned his attention to helping care for the young. On 14 June, when the young of the first brood were 10 days old, the male abandoned the female and moved 300 feet away to the south end of the territory where he burst into song, singing at a rate of 285 times per hour compared to only 38 times per hour when he was helping feed the young. During this period, from 15 to 25 June, the male spent an average of 60

percent of his time singing, averaging 308 songs per hour and an estimated 4,468 times per day. A maximum of 82 percent of his time was spent singing on 18 June, with 446 songs per hour, or an estimated 6,467 times per day. House Wrens are known for a tendency toward polygamy and the most probable reason for the male leaving the female was in an attempt to attract another mate.

The duration of singing intervals between 15 and 25 June averaged 6.8 minutes per "burst" with an average of 57 songs per interval, or a rate of about one song every 7 seconds, with pauses averaging 3.4 mins. between "bursts."

The male sang frequently while accompanying the female and fledglings of the first brood, but the song was muted and only about half the volume of his territorial song.

After the second brood left the nest the male stayed with the family group but did not sing. The last time he was heard singing was on 6 August, at which time he sang only once, the day after the young left the box.

## TERRITORY

Since there were no other males to apply pressure from adjacent areas, the only limit to the size of the territory was the amount of real estate the male could claim and still manage to maintain and control. This presented an ideal situation for study since it was easy to follow the movements of the male without confusin from other wrens in the area.

The territory encompassed parts of 3 city blocks with the nest box located in the middle of the territory. The territory measured 775 feet long and 350 feet wide at its widest point and totaled 2.80 acres. The shape was wide at one end and long and narrow at the other. According to Kendeigh (1941), territories at Hillcrest, Ohio averaged 1.0 acres but varied inversely with the size of the House Wren population.

Significantly, the territory included six "bluebird" boxes which were probably the initial attraction for choosing the site for a territory. Two of the 6 boxes were used as dummy nests and a third was utilized for the primary nest. Two of the remaining 3 were unused and the other was occupied earlier by blackbirds.

## NEST BOX

The nest box was a brick red "bluebird" box placed 4.5 feet high atop an iron pole 3 inches in diameter. The pole was placed in the center of a small lawn space about 50 feet in diameter that was enclosed by a dense growth of dogwoods, white pines and shrubbery.

The entrance hole measured 1.5 inches in diameter and the depth from the bottom of the hole to the floor of the box was 4.4 inches. Inside dimensions measured 3.5 X 4 inches.

## NEST

The time required for construction of the first nest was not determined since the nest was already completed and the female incubating when the nest was discovered on 29 May. After the first brood left the nest on 20 June, the male stayed with the family group for 1 day, then returned to the nest box and spent 2 days cleaning out the old nest. The old material was thrown out of the hole and accumulated on the ground below the box.

The foundation for the new nest was constructed entirely by the male while the female was still with the fledglings of the first brood. Construction was begun by 24 June and continued until 28 or 29 June. The foundation was composed of 80% hardwood twigs, 10% pine, and 12% cedar twigs (Table 1). Bits and pieces of 25 spider's cocoons were also used. The foundation of twigs was elevated to the level of the entrance hole with a framework of twigs obstructing about half the entrance. One twig protruded about 3 inches from the hole and was frequently used as a

perch by the adults. Completion of the foundation by the male required 5 or 6 days, from 24 June to the 29th.

The female inspected the nest for the first time on 29 June, and the male and female were both observed carrying nesting material into the box on the following day.

The deep inner cup of soft bark fibers and a few pine needles were added by the female and placed against the back wall of the box opposite the entrance hole, forming three fourths of a cup against the back of the box. The lining was composed of hair and feathers and the nest essentially was completed on 3 July, although the female continued to add feathers to the lining at least until the time the third egg was deposited on 6 July.

The total time for nest construction was 5 or 6 days by the male and about 4 days by the female, totaling 9 to 10 days.

In addition to the primary nest, the male also constructed 2 "dummy nests," one in a box 75 feet from the primary nest and the other in a box 350 feet away on the south end of the territory. The dummy nests were composed of a foundation of twigs similar to the primary nest, but were more loosely constructed and not composed of as many twigs.

## COPULATION

Mating was observed at 8:30 on the morning of 3 July, and the first egg was deposited the following day. It was present when the box was checked at 6:30~p.m.

#### **EGGS**

Each clutch consisted of 5 eggs. Baldwin and Bowen (1928) found that in 21 pairs that had 2 broods, the average was 6 for the first set and 5.5 for the second. According to Bent (1948), the number in a complete set varies from 5 to 12, but the range is usually 6 to 8. Harlow (1918) found that in 47 nests in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, the range was 5 to 8.

Outer cup	(constructed by male)	No. Items	Est. No. Trips
Twigs			
	Pine (1"-4.25", ve. 2")	36	36
	Red cedar (1"-4.25", ave. 2.25")	43	43
	Hardwood, mostly oak (1"=6.26", ave. 2.25").		
	Short (1"-2")	190	190
	Medium (2"-4")	70	70
	Long (4"=6.25")	30	30
	Spider's cocoons	25	_25_
		394	394
Inner cup	and lining (constructed by female)		
Bark sl	nreds	135	45
Pine ne	eedles	6	2
Hair		. 15	4
Feather	rs	30	30
		186	81
Totals		580	475
			THE RESERVE OF STREET

m

The eggs varied in shape from rounded ovate to oval. The ground color was grayish white but was covered or obscured by a dense covering of minute dots of reddish brown, giving the eggs a salmon pink color. The pigment tended to be concentrated toward the larger end and in some of the eggs formed a wreath around the larger end.

## LAYING INTERVAL

The laying interval was not recorded for the first set of eggs since the clutch was already completed when the nest was discovered on 29 May.

The first egg of the second clutch was deposited on 4 July, and the laying interval was 1 egg per day until the full set of 5 eggs was completed on 8 July. Bent (1948) also noted that "one egg is laid each day during the egg-laying period until the full complement of eggs is completed."

The box was checked at 1230 hr on 3 July, and the nest appeared completed but held no eggs. The box was checked the following day, at 1830 hr and contained 1 egg. At 0900 hr the following morning there were 2 eggs, indicating the second egg was deposited during the night or early the next morning, probably the latter. The third egg was recorded at 0800 hr on 6 July. The box was next checked at 1600 hr on 7 July, at which time there were 4 eggs. At 1000 hr on 8 July, the fifth egg was present, again indicating that egg laying must take place at night or early in the morning.

#### INCUBATION

Incubation of the second clutch was underway at least by the time the last egg was deposited on 8 July, and continued until the first egg hatched on 20 July and the last egg on 21 July. There is some doubt when the last egg hatched, but presumably on the afternoon of 21 July. The incubation period was therefore determined to be about 13 days. Bent (1948) also reported 13 days as the incubation period. According to Bent, different observers have given

variation of 11 to 15 days, but this discrepancy can be explained in part through a lack of proper consideration of the factors involved and especially through the lack of accurate determination when incubation actually started.

As one would expect, the percent attentiveness (time in the box/total time of observation period) during the incubation period increased as incubation advanced. Since the female stayed in the box an average of 4.3 minutes per trip during the egg-laying period, it was assumed that some degree of egg warming was in effect during this period. This is also supported by the fact that hatching took place over a period of 2 days.

The attentiveness increased from 22% on 6 July when there was an incomplete clutch of 3 eggs in the nest, to 55% on 10 July, the third day of incubation, to 76% on the eighth day. Attentiveness then declined slightly to 70% on the eleventh day. During hatching on 20 July, when there were 2 eggs and 3 nestlings in the nest, the female spent 67% of the time incubating the eggs and brooding the newly hatched young, and 33% of the time out of the box. Attentiveness after the eggs hatched declined steadily as the nestlings grew older and the female spent less time brooding.

## NESTLINGS

The first brood of 5 nestlings hatched on 4 June, and left the box 15.5 to 16 days later, on 20 June. The second brood, also of five young, hatched during 20, 21 July, and also left the box at 15.5 to 16 days of age, on 5 August.

The number of feeding visits for the first brood remained remarkably consistent, ranging from 20 to 39 per hour with an average of 23. Even after the male abandoned the female on 14 June (see also Song), and left the care of the young to the female, she maintained an average of 27 visits per hour until the last 2 days the young were in the nest, at which time the number of visits increased to 30 and 38 trips per hour. The overall average from hatching

to the time the young left the nest was 27 feeding visits per hour or an estimated avarage of 391 visits per day, totaling an estimated 6,060 feeding trips for the 15.5 days the young were in the nest (Table 2).

TABLE 2. ESTIMATED NUMBER OF TRIPS MADE TO BOX BY MALE AND FEMALE HOUSE WRENS, MAY 5 - AUGUST 5.

Stage	1st Brood	2nd Brood	Total
Nest construction	475	475	950
Laying	215	215	430
Incubation	784	784	1,568
Feeding young	6,060	3,875	9,935
Total	7,534	5,349	12,883

The number of feeding trips for the second brood was less consistent than for the first, ranging from 6 to 32 visits per hour with an average of 17, or an estimated 250 trips per day and an estimated 3,875 feeding visits for the 15.5 days the young were in the nest. One possible explanation for this difference was that the contents of the box were not checked in the second brood from the time the last egg hatched until the young left the box. One of the 5 young may have died and this could account for the difference in the number of trips.

#### FLEDGLINGS

The young of the first brood left the nest on 20 June at 15.5 to 16 days of age and were capable of flying short distances from the time they left the box. By the second day 1 of the young was observed flying from an elevated perch in a hedgerow downward across an opening for a distance of 20 feet or more.

The fledglings were observed feeding independently for the first time on 29 July, 9 days out of the nest. The second brood left the nest on the morning of 5 August at 15.5 to 16 days of age and by late afternoon the fledglings had worked their way along hedgerow some 350 ft north of the nest box. Both the male and female accompanied the young for almost 2 weeks, during which time the family group was observed going to roost in the same second growth thicket used for roostings by both broods.

The male was silent after the second brood left the box except for chattering and scolding and showed no interst in a third nesting effort. The young and adults were last seen on 6 September.

#### SUMMARY

A House Wren nest containing 5 eggs was found by the author in Jacksonville, in northeast Alabama, on 29 May 1985. This was the first reported occurrence of the species nesting successfully in the state. Two broods were raised.

The male alone built the foundation of the nest, and the female added the inner cup and lining. Time required for nest construction was 9 to 10 days. The male also constructed 2 secondary, or "dummy nests" within the 2.80 acre territory.

The laying interval for the second clutch was 1 egg per day until the complete set of 5 eggs was laid. The incubation period was 13 days and the nestlings of both broods stayed in the box for about 16 days.

An estimated 10,000 food items, mainly insects and spiders, were consumed by the 2 broods. The adults made approximately 12,900 trips to the box during nest construction, laying, incubation and care of the young.

Both parents attended the fledglings which were observed feeding independently 9 days after leaving the box. The adults and fledglings of the second brood were last seen on 6 September.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am particularly indebted to Mrs. Sue Boozer, Mrs. Alma Smith, and Mr. and Mrs. Gaither Snoody, who gave me free range over their property during the course of the study. I am also grateful to Mr. Ron Hardy, a biology student at JSU, who spent nine hours helping gather the data, and to Dr. Don Patterson, a psychology professor at JSU, who baked in a blind for hours under an August sun in an effort to insure good pictures of the adult wrens.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Baldwin, S. P. and W. P. Bowen. 1928. Nesting and Local Distribution of the House Wren. Auk, Vol. 95, pp. 186-199.
- Bent, A. C. 1948. Life Histories of North American Nuthatcher, Wrens, Thrashers and Their Allies. Dover Publications, Inc., N. Y.
- Harlow, R. C. 1918. Notes on the Breeding Birds of Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Auk, Vol. 35, pp. 136-147.
- Imhof, T. A. 1976. Alabama Birds, 2nd ed., University of Alabama Press, University, Alabama.
- Kendeigh, S. C. 1941. Territorial and Mating Behavior of the House Wren. Ill. Biol. Monogr., Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 1-120.
- Potter, E. F., Parnell, J. F. and R. P. Teulings. 1980. Birds of the Carolinas. The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.
- Summerour, C. W. 1983. House Wrens, <u>Troglodytes aedon</u>, Attempt Nesting in Jacksonville. Alabama Birdlife, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2, p. 12.

Bill Summerour Jacksonville State University Jacksonville, AL